IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA MARTINSBURG

WALTER DUANE WHITE,

Petitioner.

٧.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:07-CV-8 (BAILEY)

JOYCE FRANCIS, Warden,

Respondent.

ORDER ADOPTING OPINION/REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

On this day, the above-styled matter came before the Court for consideration of the Opinion/Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge John S. Kaull. By Standing Order, this action was referred to Magistrate Judge Kaull for submission of a proposed report and a recommendation ("R & R"). Magistrate Judge Kaull filed his R & R on August 20, 2008 [Doc. 56]. In that filing, the magistrate judge recommended that this Court deny and dismiss the petitioner's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241 [Doc. 1].

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b) (1) (c), this Court is required to make a *de novo* review of those portions of the magistrate judge's findings to which objection is made. However, the Court is not required to review, under a *de novo* or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the findings or recommendation to which no objections are addressed. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985). In addition, failure to file timely objections constitutes a waiver of *de novo*

review and the right to appeal this Court's Order. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Snyder v.

Ridenour, 889 F.2d 1363, 1366 (4th Cir. 1989); United States v. Schronce, 727 F.2d 91,

94 (4th Cir. 1984).

Here, objections to Magistrate Judge Kaull's R & R were due within ten (10) days

of receipt, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Fed.R.Civ.P. 72(b). The docket reflects

that service was accepted on August 25, 2008. Accordingly, any objections were due by

September 12, 2008. No objections have been filed. Accordingly, this Court will review the

report and recommendation for clear error.

Upon careful review of the report and recommendation, it is the opinion of this Court

that the magistrate judge's Opinion/Report and Recommendation [Doc. 56] should be,

and is, hereby ORDERED ADOPTED for the reasons more fully stated in the magistrate

judge's report. Accordingly, this Court hereby **DENIES and DISMISSES with prejudice**

the petitioner's § 2241 petition [Doc. 1]. Therefore, this matter is hereby ORDERED

STRICKEN from the active docket of this Court.

It is so **ORDERED**.

The Clerk is directed to transmit copies of this Order to any counsel of record and

to mail a copy to the pro se plaintiff.

DATED: September 22, 2008.

TED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE